## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Mirasol R	eyes,	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. <u>3:13-cv-05181-JSW</u>
Checksma	v. art Financial, LLC,	STIPULATION AND [FROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
-	Defendant(s).	
Confollowing s	ansel report that they have me stipulation pursuant to Civil L	et and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the .R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties	agree to participate in the fol	lowing ADR process:
	urt Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration ( Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably ADR phon	y more likely to meet their nee e conference and may not file	settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eds than any other form of ADR must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Pri	vate Process: Private ADR (please iden	tify process and provider)
The parties	agree to hold the ADR session the presumptive deadline referring the case to an A.	on by: (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
V	other requested deadline	120 days from entry of order re Motion to Dismiss
Dated: <u>3</u>	166/14	Attorney in Plaintiff
Dated: <u>03-</u>	27-2014	IT IS SO ORDERED Defendant
	28, 2014 E TO FOLLOWING PAGE	IT IS SO ORDERED Defendant Judge Jeffrey S. White
	9	DISTRICT OF COM